Serial No. 10/674,079 Filed: September 29, 2003

Response to Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment

Response Filed: January 5, 2009

Remarks

In the Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment mailed December 5, 2008, the Examiner indicated that Applicants failed to address the 35 USC 112, second paragraph, antecedent basis rejection of Claim 16. The Examiner had previously rejected Claim 16 under 35 USC 112 alleging that the element of "the second client" of Claim 16 did not have sufficient antecedent basis. However, Applicants note that in the Amendment filed on June 16, 2008, Applicants amended Claim 13 to include "a second client." Claim 16 depends from Claim 13, and thus, Applicants respectfully believe that "the second client" of Claim 16 has sufficient antecedent basis and that the 35 USC 112, second paragraph, rejection should be withdrawn.

Applicants respectfully believe that the presently pending claims of this application are allowable over the cited references, and Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to so find and issue a Notice of Allowance for this application. Should the Examiner deem a telephone conference to be beneficial in expediting allowance/examination of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Randy L. Campbell, Jr. Attorney Reg. No. 57,223

Attorney for Applicant

RLC/bal

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE CUSTOMER NO. 00757

Telephone: 317-636-0886 Facsimile: 317-634-6701